scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Research on optimization of basic rail top bending prediction model

Chunjiang Liu, Zhikui Dong[⊠], Long Ma, Xinyu Hou & Nanbing Qiao

Since the basic rail of the switch needs to have a certain bending angle when the train changes direction, top bending is an important link in the production process of the basic rail. The three-point pressure top bending method is simple, flexible and widely used. In this study, the traditional three-point pressure bending is optimized, the influence of the pick width in the model is considered, a corresponding rebound model is established, and the model is applied to the pressure bending process of the basic rail. The bilinear strengthening model of the material was used to construct the bending moment expressions at different positions during the top bending process, and the relationship between the load and bending deflection in the elastic stage and elastic-plastic stage was obtained. The final top bending prediction model was obtained by combining the load-deflection model in the bending stage and the rebound stage. The correctness of the theoretical mathematical model was verified by establishing finite element simulations, and the theoretical calculation results were compared with the experimental results. The results showed that the top bend prediction optimization model established in this study had high feasibility and met the machining accuracy requirements.

With the technological change of high-speed railways, trains are developing towards high speed, heavy load and high density, which causes higher requirements for the accuracy and operating life of railway track structure equipment. The basic rail in the turnout is located between the two tongue rails. This connects the normal driving track and the turnout branch track, forming a smooth transition area connecting the two tracks. The contact geometric relationship between the wheel and rail is important for reflecting the dynamic effect of the wheel and rail. As a typical indicator, the basic rail needs to have a certain bending angle for turns as the train is running, and its angle needs to have high accuracy, such that it has high smoothness, high precision and high reliability¹⁻³. Therefore, the basic rail needs to be corrected for top bending.

During the three-point bending process, the rail undergoes elastic-plastic deformation. The plastically deformed part cannot return to its original shape, while the elastic part will return to its original state. After the top of the rail bends, its shape changes, and a partial rebound occurs⁴. Since there is always some degree of elastic deformation, the final shape of the object is not the same as the shape from the top bending process. Therefore, the relationship between pressure and deflection during top bending need to be analysed, and the deflection after top bending needs to be predicted.

The mechanical properties of the metal bending process are analysed through the curvature. Stachowicz⁵ investigated the relationship between the bending moment and bending curvature during the bending process of metal pipe fittings, and studied the influence of the bending moment and bending change. Vatter⁶ established a finite element model to simulate the spatial variable curvature forming process and studied the influence of the relevant parameters. Johnson and Yu^{7,8} used linear strengthening and nonlinear power strengthening constitutive models, respectively, to calculate the rebound curvature equation of strip parts during the bending process. Kosel⁹ used a linear elastic hardening rheology model to study the repeated elastic plane pure bending process of a material beam, considered the complete strain history, and described a method for determining the final radius of curvature. Stok and Halilovic¹⁰ provided an analytical solution for the elastic-plastic bending of rectangular cross-section beams. However, in the actual top bending process, the curvature is very difficult to measure.

Through the analysis of the relationship between the pressure and bending deflection, Cui Fu¹¹ proposed the relevant concepts of straightening machinery and straightening theory, and derived a relationship between the load and deflection through the relationship for the curvature and angle. Li Jun et al.¹² simplified the pressure alignment problem of metals, derived the relationship between the curvature and bending moment, and summarized three calculation methods for the alignment stroke. Song Youshuo¹³ analysed the elastic-plastic mechanics of metal, conducted finite element simulation verification, and determined the residual stress distribution after

School of Mechanical Engineering, Yanshan University, Hebei Street 438, Qinhuangdao 066004, Hebei, China. [™]email: 13513357441@163.com

unloading. Sitar et al.¹⁴ proposed a numerical calculation method for the elastic-plastic bending and rebound of asymmetric cross-section beams.

Shelest¹⁵ established an elastic–plastic alternating bending model for the processing of metal strips on roller straightening machines, and calculated the geometric and deformation parameters of the straightening process. Khaleel Ibrahim¹⁶ employed an optimization method using an objective function to maximize the load and simultaneously constrained the residual internal forces and the complementary strain energy of the steel components to control the residual plastic deformation. Jrad¹⁷ established the elastic-plastic constitutive equations of the material using a linear hardening model. Nabochenko¹⁸ proposed the criterion of equivalent bending stiffness for rails. Fallah Nafari¹⁹ concluded that a mathematical correlation existed among the rail deflection, rail stress, and the load applied to the rail. Zhang²⁰ optimized key variables such as span size, straightening position, straightening torque, and straightening stroke.

Most scholars simplify the mechanical model of metal materials into a three-point force model during the three-point bending process; however, the top pick is not just a concentrated force. For this reason, in this study, a corresponding force model was established by considering the influence of the top pick width. The mechanical model was used to calculate the bilinear reinforced constitutive model of the material, and the optimized top bending prediction model was obtained. Finite element simulations were conducted to validate the proposed method against both the model and real experiments, demonstrating the practicality and applicability of the approach²¹. Comparative analysis with other methods confirmed the superiority of the optimized model^{22,23}.

Establishment of the top bending mechanics model

Compared with traditional three-point top bending, the force exerted by the top pick on the rail is simplified into two concentrated forces in this study. Figure 1 shows the contact relationship between both sides of the top pick and the basic rail for line contact during the top bending process. To simplify the calculation, the basic rail pressure buckling process is simplified to a simple supported beam subject to two concentrated forces. Introducing the top pick and support pick width, the actual top bend support distance is the distance between the inner measurement of the support pick and the centre of the top pick. The inner support distance l_w is the distance between the inner measurements of the two support picks, the outer top distance l_w is the distance between the inner measurement of the support picks and the outside of the top picks, l_a is the width of the top picks, and l_b is the width of the support picks.

Figure 2 shows a mechanical model of the top bending process. Curve a is the basic rail shape before top bending, curve b is the curved rail shape of the basic rail when top bending reaches the maximum stroke, and curve c is the unloading force of the top pick for the basic rail type after elastic rebound. F_a and F_b are the loads on the basic rail when the top pick is working. They are symmetrically distributed at the centre of the two picks, and the distance is the width of the top pick. δ_g is the target deflection of top bending, δ_{Σ} is the maximum top bending stroke in the top bending process, δ_c is the top bending deflection of the basic rail after top bending is completed, δ_f is the rebound deflection of the basic rail in the top bending process, and δ_{Σ} is the top bend stroke. After the rebound is completed and the accuracy requirement of $|\delta_c - \delta_{\Sigma}| \le 0.2mm/m$ is met, the top bending process ends.

Since the basic rail section is relatively complex, the 43 kg/m model basic rail is appropriately simplified according to the method given by Sheng Yanming²⁴. The simplified cross-sectional geometric size is basically the same as the original cross-sectional size. By calculating its overall area, the horizontal moment of inertia, centroid



Figure 1. Simplified model of the top bending process.



Figure 2. Simplified model of top bending mechanics.

position and other parameters, the error between the simplified parameters and the original parameters is low, and the formula based on this can be easily derived. The simplified shape and size information is shown in Fig. 3.

To simplify the calculation, the bilinear strengthening model of the material is used to calculate the bending moment, as shown in Fig. 4; this is the distribution of the cross-sectional stress.

Figure 5 shows the stress strain during elastic-plastic bending, where H_t is half the thickness of the elastic deformation layer. The stress magnitude in the elastic deformation layer is as shown in Eq. (1):

$$\sigma = \frac{x\sigma_s}{H_t} \tag{1}$$

When the layer is plastically deformed, Eq. (2) is determined using the proportional relationship:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma' - \sigma_s \\ \overline{x - H_t} = \frac{\sigma_h - \sigma_s}{B_1 - H_t} \\ \sigma_h - \sigma_s = E_t(\varepsilon_h - \varepsilon_t) \end{cases}$$
(2)

Through the above equation, Eq. (3) can be obtained:

$$\sigma' - \sigma_s = \frac{E_t \sigma_s (x - H_t)}{EH_t} \tag{3}$$



Figure 3. Simplified basic rail section.



Figure 4. Stress distribution of the basic rail section.



Figure 5. Stress and strain in elastic-plastic bending.

From the linear strengthening coefficient $\lambda = \frac{E_t}{E}$, we obtain Eq. (4):

$$\sigma' = \left[1 + \lambda (\frac{x}{H_t} - 1)\right] \sigma_s \tag{4}$$

After the cross-section is simplified, the basic rail can be divided into three parts: the rail head, rail waist and rail bottom. Analysis of the top bending process of the basic rail shows that it can be divided into three stages when elastic-plastic deformation occurs, as shown in Fig. 6. Whenever the plastic deformation reaches a sudden change in the section, the elastic-plastic calculation formula changes. According to the plastic deformation reaching different parts of the basic rail section, the bending moment is analysed and calculated in each stage.

(1) Elastic limit bending moment

When the basic rail bends laterally, elastic deformation initially occurs. At this time, the stress-strain relationship conforms to Hooke's law. According to Fig. 5, the elastic limit bending moment of the basic rail during the bending process is given by Eq. (5):

$$M_t = 2\int_0^{\frac{B_1}{2}} \sigma H_1 x dx + 2\int_0^{\frac{B_2}{2}} \sigma H_2 x dx + 2\int_0^{\frac{B_3}{2}} \sigma H_3 x dx$$
(5)

From the formula, $\sigma = \frac{2x}{B_1}\sigma_s$ is substituted into the above formula, and Eq. (6) is obtained:

$$M_t = \frac{H_1 B_1^3 + H_2 B_2^3 + H_3 B_3^3}{6B_1} \sigma_s \tag{6}$$

According to the calculation formula $W = \frac{M}{\sigma}$, the bending section coefficient of the basic rail is obtained as shown in Eq. (7):

$$W = \frac{H_1 B_1^3 + H_2 B_2^3 + H_3 B_3^3}{6B_1} \tag{7}$$

(2) Elastoplastic bending moment



Figure 6. Three stages of the elastic-plastic deformation of the basic rail.

Figure 6 shows that the elastic-plastic deformation of the basic rail during the top bending process can be divided into three stages. In the first stage, plastic deformation occurs only at the rail bottom. In the second stage, plastic deformation occurs at both the rail bottom and the rail. In the third stage, the plastic deformation occurs at the rail bottom, rail head and rail waist. The elastic zone ratio ξ is set as the ratio of the elastic zone length to the total length, that is, $\xi = H_t/H$. To prevent the basic rail from the plastic hinges due to deformation, the following is defined: $\xi_{\min} = 0.2$.

In the first stage, plastic deformation occurs only at the bottom of the rail. The bending moment expression is established as shown in Eq. (8):

$$M_{1} = 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{H_{t}}{2}} \sigma H_{1} x dx + 2 \int_{\frac{H_{t}}{2}}^{\frac{B_{1}}{2}} \sigma' H_{1} x dx + 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{B_{2}}{2}} \sigma H_{2} x dx + 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{B_{3}}{2}} \sigma H_{3} x dx$$
(8)

The formula for sorting is shown in Eq. (9):

$$M_1 = \left\{ \frac{H_1 B_1^2 (\lambda - 1)}{12} \xi^2 + \left[\frac{H_1 B_1^2 (\lambda - 1)}{6} + W \right] \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{H_1 B_1^2 (1 - \lambda)}{4} \right\} \sigma_s \tag{9}$$

In the second stage, plastic deformation occurs at the rail bottom and rail head.

The bending moment expression is established as shown in Eq. (10):

$$M_{2} = 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{B_{2}}{2}} \sigma H_{2} x dx + 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{H_{t}}{2}} \sigma H_{1} x dx + 2 \int_{\frac{H_{t}}{2}}^{\frac{B_{1}}{2}} \sigma' H_{1} x dx + 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{B_{3}}{2}} \sigma H_{3} x dx + 2 \int_{\frac{H_{t}}{2}}^{\frac{B_{3}}{2}} \sigma' H_{3} x dx \quad (10)$$

The formula for sorting is shown in Eq. (11):

$$M_{2} = \left\{ \frac{(H_{1} + H_{3})B_{1}^{2}(\lambda - 1)}{12}\xi^{2} + \left[\frac{(H_{1}B_{1}^{3} + H_{3}B_{3}^{3})(\lambda - 1)}{6B_{1}} + W \right] \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{(H_{1}B_{1}^{2} + H_{3}B_{3}^{2})(1 - \lambda)}{4} \right\} \sigma_{s}$$
(11)

In the third stage, plastic deformation occurs at the rail bottom, rail head and rail waist.

The bending moment expression is established as shown in Eq. (12):

$$M_{3} = 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{H_{t}}{2}} \sigma H_{1}xdx + 2 \int_{\frac{H_{t}}{2}}^{\frac{B_{1}}{2}} \sigma' H_{1}xdx + 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{H_{t}}{2}} \sigma H_{2}xdx + 2 \int_{\frac{H_{t}}{2}}^{\frac{B_{2}}{2}} \sigma' H_{2}xdx + 2 \int_{\frac{H_{t}}{2}}^{\frac{B_{2}}{2}} \sigma' H_{3}xdx + 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{H_{t}}{2}} \sigma' H_{3}xdx$$
(12)

The formula for sorting is shown in Eq. (13):

$$M_{3} = \left\{ \frac{(H_{1} + H_{2} + H_{3})B_{1}^{2}(\lambda - 1)}{12}\xi^{2} + \lambda W \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{(H_{1}B_{1}^{2} + H_{2}B_{2}^{2} + H_{3}B_{3}^{2})(1 - \lambda)}{4} \right\} \sigma_{s}$$
(13)

When plastic deformation occurs during the top bending process, the corresponding bending moment formulas at different positions are shown in Eq. (14):

$$M_{\lambda} = \left(a + b\xi^2 + \frac{c}{\xi}\right)\sigma_s = \left(a + b\xi^2 + \frac{c}{\xi}\right)\frac{M_t}{W}$$
(14)

The values of a, b, and c are obtained according to the stage of plastic deformation during the top bending process.

Establishment of the top bend deflection model

The stress analysis of the top bending process of the basic rail is shown in Fig. 7.

The results from the force and bending moment at different positions are shown in Eqs. (15)–(20), and the shear force diagram and bending moment diagram are shown in Fig. 8.

The equations in the AC segment are defined as follows:







Figure 8. Shear force diagram and bending moment diagram.

$$F_x = F_A = \frac{1}{2}F\tag{15}$$

$$M_x = F_A x = \frac{1}{2} F x \tag{16}$$

The equations in the CD segment are defined as follows:

$$F_x = F_A - \frac{1}{2}F = 0$$
 (17)

$$M_{x} = F_{A}x - \frac{1}{2}F\left(x - l_{w}^{'}\right) = \frac{1}{2}Fl_{w}^{'}$$
(18)

The equations in the BD segment are defined as follows:

$$F_x = -F_B = -\frac{1}{2}F\tag{19}$$

$$M_x = F_B(2l_w - x) = \frac{1}{2}F(2l_w - x)$$
(20)

According to the internal and external moment balance, the equilibrium relationship in the elastic-plastic stage can be obtained as shown in Eqs. 21 and 22.

The equations in the AC segment are defined as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2}Fx = \left(a + b\xi^2 + \frac{c}{\xi}\right)\frac{M_t}{W}$$
(21)

The equations in the CO segment are defined as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2}Fl'_{w} = \left(a + b\xi^{2} + \frac{c}{\xi}\right)\frac{M_{t}}{W}$$
(22)

The top bending of the basic rail is usually carried out by a press. The top bending force is macroscopically expressed as a concentrated force. Through the contact between the top pick and the basic rail, a concentrated force is divided into two concentrated forces of equal size and symmetrical distribution. To ensure that the deformation of the basic rail will not cause defects, the force F needs to be limited, and its variation range is shown in Eq. (23):

$$F_t \le F \le F_{\max} \tag{23}$$

In the above formula, F_t is defined as follows: $F_t = \frac{2M_t}{t'}$

By using the above relationships, Eqs. (24) and (25) can be obtained.

The equations in the AC segment are defined as follows:

$$x = \frac{l'_w}{\alpha W} \left(a + b\xi^2 + \frac{c}{\xi} \right)$$
(24)

The equations in the CO segment are defined as follows:

$$\alpha W = \left(a + b\xi^2 + \frac{c}{\xi}\right) \tag{25}$$

Figure 9 shows a schematic diagram of the top bending process of the basic rail. l_t is the length of the elastic region of one side of the basic rail, and l_s is the length of the elastic-plastic region of one side. According to the shear force diagram and bending moment diagram, the force and bending moment on the basic rail are symmetrical; thus, in this study, the left side of the symmetry axis is only examined.



Figure 9. Schematic diagram of the top bending process.

Since the bending inclination caused by the top bend is not large, the short segment length d_x of the horizontal axis can be regarded as the short segment arc length d_s in the deflection curve. Assuming that the top curvature rate at x is C_x and the corresponding radius of curvature is ρ_x , the change in rotation angle can be calculated as $d_\theta = d_x/\rho_x = C_x d_x$. Due to the small deformation relationship, the deflection change at x can be calculated simply as $d_y = x d_\theta = x C_x d_x$. Then, the calculation formula for the reverse bending deflection corresponding to the midpoint is shown in Eq. (26):

$$\delta_{\Sigma} = \int_0^{\delta} d_y = \int_0^{l'_w} x C_x d_x \tag{26}$$

The integral of the above piecewise formula is calculated as follows: $0 \sim l_t$ and $l_t \sim l'_w$. There are elastic deformation areas at both ends of the basic rail. When the force $\frac{F}{2}$ is constant, the elastic area length l_t is also constant. Therefore, in the elastic region, the C_x function is a linear function, as shown in Eq. (27).

$$C_x = \frac{M_x}{EI} = \frac{Fx}{2EI}$$
(27)

The formula is substituted into the first part of the calculation formula, as shown in Eq. (28):

$$\delta_{\Sigma_1} = \int_0^{l_t} \frac{Fx^2}{2EI} d_x + \int_{l_t}^{l_w} x C_x dx = \frac{Fl_t^3}{6EI} + \int_{l_t}^{l_w} x C_x dx$$
(28)

The calculation formula for the second part is shown in Eq. (29):

$$\delta_{\Sigma_2} = \int_{l'_w}^{l'_w + \frac{l_a}{2}} x C_x dx$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Establishment of the top curvature model

The stress state of the basic rail during the top bending process is shown in Fig. 10. In the geometric analysis, the curvature and deformation of the bending are considered from the micro-line segments. The original state of the basic rail before the top bending is straight. The unit length is defined as follows: Oa = 1. When bending



Figure 10. Change in curvature during bending.

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:9844 |

occurs, the corresponding arc centre angle is *C*, the arc length is Oa = 1, and the radius of curvature is ρ . The correspondence between them is shown in Eq. (30):

$$C = \frac{Oa}{\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho}$$
(30)

The arc centre angle *C* is the same as the curvature of the arc, but the unit dimension is changed from *rad* to m^{-1} ; thus, the curvature can be replaced by the arc centre angle *C*. The state corresponding to the total stroke of the top bending process is bent from a straight line *Oa* to a curve *Oa*₁. At this time, the top bending rate is *C_x*, and the radius of curvature is ρ_x . The corresponding relationship is shown in Eq. (31):

$$C_x = \frac{1}{\rho_x} \tag{31}$$

The state corresponding to the rebound stage of the top bending process rebounds from curve Oa_1 to curve Oa_2 . At this time, the top bending rate is C_c , the radius of curvature is ρ_c , and the rebound curvature is C_f . The corresponding relationship is shown in Eq. (32):

$$C_c = \frac{1}{\rho_c} \tag{32}$$

The elastic limit curvature is set to C_t , the outermost fibre of the basic rail just reaches the elastic limit stage, and its calculation expression is shown in Eq. (33):

$$C_t = \frac{2\varepsilon_t}{H} \tag{33}$$

The curvature ratio is the ratio of the curvature to the elastic limit of curvature, represented by *A*, where the total bending curvature ratio is given by Eq. (34):

$$A_x = \frac{C_x}{C_t} \tag{34}$$

According to the principle of plane section, $C_x = \frac{2\varepsilon_t}{H_t}$ can be obtained; by using this relationship, we can obtain Eq. (35):

$$A_x = \frac{C_x}{C_t} = \frac{2\varepsilon_t H}{2\varepsilon_t H_t} = \frac{H}{H_t}$$
(35)

By setting the bomb area ratio ξ , we can obtain Eq. (36):

$$C_x = \frac{C_t}{\xi} \tag{36}$$

Establishment of the top bend deflection model

Based on the analysis presented earlier, a flowchart is constructed, as shown in Fig. 11, and the relationship are shown in Eqs. 37 and 38. The trapezoidal method is used to calculate the integral. The total deflection calculation formula for the top bending process is $\delta_{\Sigma} = \delta_{\Sigma_1} + \delta_{\Sigma_2}$. The corresponding relationship between *F* and δ_{Σ} can be obtained. The load-deflection curves of the different types of basic rails and the load-deflection relationships during the top bending process with different top pick widths are plotted.

$$\begin{cases} F_{1} = \alpha \frac{2M_{t}}{l'_{w}} \left(\alpha = 1 \rightarrow \frac{a + b\xi_{\min}^{2} + \frac{c}{\xi_{\min}}}{W} \right) \\ \delta_{\Sigma_{1}} = \frac{Fl_{t}^{3}}{6EI} + \int_{l_{t}}^{l'_{w}} xC_{x} dx \\ C_{x} = \frac{C_{t}}{\xi} \\ x_{1} = \frac{l'_{w}}{\alpha W} \left(a + b\xi^{2} + \frac{c}{\xi} \right) \end{cases}$$

$$(37)$$







Establishment of the top curvature model

According to the actual working status, a simplified finite element simulation model is constructed²⁵, as shown in Fig. 12.

The model mainly includes a support platform, experimental rails, a top pick that exerts pressure on the rails, and two support picks that play a supporting role. On one side of the rail, two picks are set as the fulcrum for bending the rail according to the distance between the picks, as shown in Table 1, and picks of different widths are set on the other side to bend the rail. The bending parameters of the curved rails used are shown in Table 1.

A finite element simulation model was established based on the actual top bending process. During the top bending process, the deformation of the support platform, top pick, and support pick was negligible; thus, they were set as analytical rigid bodies. The friction between each component during the top bending process had a small impact on the top bending, and the contact between each component was set using the default friction contact. At the same time, the simulation was performed in three analysis steps. The first analysis step applied



Figure 12. Finite element simulation model of top bending.

Rail material	Top pick downwards distance (mm)	Top pick width (mm)	Pick distance (mm)
U71Mn	8	100-300	600-1000

Table 1. Finite element simulation parameters of the top-bending rails.

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:9844 |

displacement. The displacement was the value of the top bending stroke calculated theoretically to achieve the reverse bending of the rail. In the second analysis step, pressure maintenance is performed. In the third analysis step, the rail rebounds as the pressure is unloaded step by step.

By changing the fixed top die width in the existing model, models with different widths of support dies and different distances between support dies are introduced. The influence of different top die widths on the bending effect is verified through simulation. The simulated stress cloud diagrams of the rail after bending and rebound are shown in Figs. 13 and 14, respectively.

During the top bending simulation process, the stress state of the top pick was observed, as shown in Fig. 15; these results were consistent with the two concentrated forces proposed by this study, where the top pick provided two concentrated forces.

The finite element top bending simulation of the rail is carried out according to the parameters provided in Table 1. The working edge deflection curves under different working conditions after top bending are shown in Figs. 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20.

From Figs. 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, a significant difference in deflection is observed between the top die widths of 300 mm and 100 mm. These results indicate that the top die width has a significant impact on the residual deflection after bending. Additionally, some errors occur under different top die widths. To further reduce these errors, the influence of the top die width on the prediction model for the post-bending deflection need to be considered.

The top pick stroke is set to 8 mm, the finite element simulation data are obtained, the corresponding loaddeflection model is established under different top pick widths and different support distances, and the relationship between the top-bending load and the top-bending stroke is analysed. Figures 21, 22 and 23 show the load-deflection models under different top pick widths and different support distances.

From Figs. 21, 22 and 23, the forms of the load-deflection curves under different jack widths and different support distances are the same; specifically, they are divided into the elastic loading stage, elastic-plastic loading stage and linear rebound stage. These results are consistent with the theoretically calculated load-deflection model.

Furthermore, as the top die width increases under fixed bending stroke conditions, the rail deflection gradually increases along with the load. Consequently, the residual deflection of the rail after bending also increases.







Figure 14. After rebound.



Figure 15. Stress diagram of the top pick.



Figure 16. Spread of 600 mm.



Figure 17. Spread of 700 mm.



Figure 18. Spread of 800 mm.







Figure 20. Spread of 1000 mm.



Figure 21. Top pick width of 100 mm.



Figure 22. Top pick width of 200 mm.





The simulation results also indicate that with constant top bending support distances, increasing the bending stroke leads to an increase in the bending load. With constant bending strokes, larger support distances require smaller bending loads. When bending loads are constant, larger bending support distances necessitate larger bending strokes. Moreover, as the top die width increases, both the bending stroke and bending load increase.

By changing the width of the top pick and the distance between the supporting picks, the corresponding simulation data are obtained, as shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

Experimental

To verify the top bending model, an experimental verification was conducted on a custom self-made press. The rail model used in the experiment is a 43 kg rail, its material is U71Mn, the specific parameters are as follows: E = 214GPa, $\sigma_s = 650GPa$, its shape and size are $H_1 = 12$, $H_2 = 98$, $H_3 = 30$, $B_1 = 114$, $B_2 = 14.5$, and $B_3 = 70$.

Figures 24 and 25 show the top bending pressure testing machine. During the top bending process, both ends are supported by support picks. The support distance can be adjusted according to needs. The two picks are symmetrically distributed, and the top pick is located in the middle position. When a load is applied to the rail, the load and deformation produced during the top bending process of the rail need to be measured to verify the correctness of the model. Therefore, this experimental device uses a pressure sensor and a displacement sensor to measure the force and deformation of the rail.

Multiple sets of experiments were conducted on the rail under different top die widths and support die distances, yielding experimental results under various bending conditions. Figure 26 shows a set of comparison diagrams for the experiment. Under the same parameter settings, the slope of the elastic stage in the load-deflection curve is relatively close, the rebound curve in the rebound stage is close to a linear distribution, and its slope k is roughly the same as that of the elastic stage; these results verify the feasibility of using simple rebound in the model. By comparing the theoretical calculation curve and the experimental result curve, under the same top bending deflection, the calculated load of the prediction model is higher than the experimental value, and its error increases with increasing deflection. This phenomenon potentially occurs because large size rails have errors due to neglecting the tangential stress. In addition, although the plane assumption is maintained during the bending process of the rail, in the case of large deformation, the neutral plane of the rail will shift; however, this situation not considered in this study. In addition, the material hardening model of the rail may be more complex in the case of larger bends. Due to the increase in strain, the error of the bilinear strengthening model will increase and will no longer be suitable for this model. A more accurate material strengthening model is needed.

Support distance	Theoretical calculated stroke	Finite element simulation stroke	Error
600	3.243	3.260	-0.017
700	2.398	2.393	0.005
800	1.662	1.671	-0.009
900	1.232	1.228	0.004
1000	0.255	0.267	-0.012

Table 2. Theoretical and simulated stroke of a 100 mm wide top pick.

.....

Support distance	ort distance Theoretical calculated stroke Finite element simulation stroke		Error
600	4.255	4.266	-0.011
700	3.089	3.101	-0.012
800	2.022	2.036	-0.014
900	1.380	1.392	-0.012
1000	0.347	0.341	0.006

Table 3. Theoretical and simulated stroke of a 200 mm wide top pick.

Support distance	pport distance Theoretical calculated stroke Finite element simulation stroke		Error
600	5.333	5.329	0.004
700	3.588	3.593	-0.005
800	2.166	2.172	-0.006
900	1.322	1.311	0.011
1000	0.444	0.432	0.012

Table 4. Theoretical and simulated stroke of a 300 mm wide top pick.



Pressure Sensor

Figure 24. Experimental site.



Motion detector

Figure 25. Experimental site.



Figure 26. Theoretical calculation and experimental results.





Due to space limitations, as shown in Fig. 27, the data for the top bend were obtained using the prediction model. The error between the rail top bending deflection obtained from the experimental results and the target deflection is less than 0.2 mm. Therefore, the top bending model established in this study is reasonable and can provide theoretical support for automatic top bending of the rails.

Conclusion

In this study, the three-point bending model for a basic rail was optimized by considering the influence of the top die width; this resulted in a corresponding prediction model for basic rail bending. This refinement enhanced the accuracy of the computational results, providing theoretical support for automated bending equipment for basic rails. Analysis of the existing results led to the following conclusions.

(1) Theoretical model optimization:

In the simplification of the mechanical model, the force on the top die was no longer simplified as a concentrated force; rather, two concentrated forces were applied on either side of the top die. Based on the observation, the stress distribution on the top die experienced forces only on its sides, validating the correctness of the mechanical model simplification. Introducing the top die width, which is the distance between the two concentrated forces, successfully yielded the bending moment at different sections of the rail. Building upon elastic-plastic theory, the bending deflection model for top bending was successfully established, and the final optimized prediction model was obtained through curvature relationships. Applying the optimized model to automated bending equipment for basic rails provided more accurate theoretical guidance for achieving automated bending.

(2) Confirmation of the rationality and improvement effect of the model via experiments:

In existing studies of the model, only a fixed top die width is typically considered, and bending is achieved by varying the support die distance. However, in this study, the top die width was varied in both the finite element models and the experiments for observation of its effect on bending. The top die width imposed a limiting effect on the rebound stage. Specifically, a wider top die width led to poorer rebound effects and greater residual deflection after bending. When the influence of the top die width was not considering, a decrease in the support die distance led to increasing errors. The optimized model proposed in this study could mitigate this influence and provide better predictions.

(3) Suggestions for further research in complex situations:

Under large deformation conditions, based on the experimental results, the errors gradually increased. Analysis of the model-building process revealed that the use of a bilinear hardening model for calculating the bending moment introduced errors due to the increase in strain leading to increased stress errors; consequently, this resulted in subsequent calculation inaccuracies. In future research, further refinement of the material hardening model is recommended to improve its accuracy. When conducting elastic-plastic bending analysis on beams with complex cross-sectional shapes, the construction process of the optimized model in this study can be referenced. Adjustments to the corresponding bending moment calculation can then be made to derive the corresponding equations.

Data availibility

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to signing a confidentiality agreement with Party A, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 21 January 2024; Accepted: 24 April 2024 Published online: 29 April 2024

References

- 1. Dao, S. et al. High-speed railway turnout technical system and operation status. China Railway 5, 18-22 (2017).
- 2. Shu, W. Current situation and development of railway switches in my country. Railway Construct. 6, 42-46 (2015).
 - 3. Ping, W., Rong, C., Jing, X., Xiao, M. & Jian, W. Review of high-speed railway turnout system theory and engineering practice research. J. Southwest Jiaotong Univ. 51, 357–372 (2016).
 - 4. Shinkin, V. Springback coefficient of round steel beam under elastoplastic torsion. CIS Iron Steel Rev. 15, 23-27 (2018).
 - 5. Stachowicz, F. Bending with upsetting of copper tube elbows. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 100, 236–240 (2000).
 - Vatter, P. H. & Plettke, R. Process model for the design of bent 3-dimensional free-form geometries for the three-roll-push-bending process. Proc. CIRP 7, 240–245 (2013).
 - Johnson, W. & Yu, T. On springback after the pure bending of beams and plates of elastic work-hardening materials-III. Int. J. Mech. Sci. 23, 687-695 (1981).
 - Johnson, W. & Yu, T. Springback after the biaxial elastic-plastic pure bending of a rectangular plate-I. Int. J. Mech. Sci. 23, 619–630 (1981).
 - 9. Kosel, F., Videnic, T., Kosel, T. & Brojan, M. Elasto-plastic springback of beams subjected to repeated bending/unbending histories. J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 20, 846–854 (2011).
 - Štok, B. & Halilovič, M. Analytical solutions in elasto-plastic bending of beams with rectangular cross section. *Appl. Math. Model.* 33, 1749–1760 (2009).
 - 11. Fu, C. Straightening Theory and Straightening Machinery. (Metallurgical Industry Press, 2002).
 - 12. Jun, L., Hui, Z. & Guo, X. Current status and prospects of theoretical research on pressure alignment technology. *Mech. Des. Res.* 69-71+69 (2004).
 - Song, Y. Load-deflection model for T-section rail press straightening process under lateral loads. *Cluster Computing* 22, 2955–2961 (2019).
 - Sitar, M., Kosel, F. & Brojan, M. Numerical and experimental analysis of elastic-plastic pure bending and springback of beams of asymmetric cross-sections. Int. J. Mech. Sci. 90, 77–88 (2015).
 - Shelest, A., Yusupov, V., Karelin, R. & Perkas, M. Geometric and deformation parameters of elastoplastic alternating bending of a strip during processing on a roller straightening machine. *Russ. Metall. (Metally)* 2022, 546–551 (2022).
 - Khaleel Ibrahim, S. & Movahedi Rad, M. Optimal elasto-plastic analysis of prestressed concrete beams by applying residual plastic deformation limitations. Sustainability 15, 5742 (2023).
 - Jrad, H., Mars, J., Wali, M. & Dammak, F. Geometrically nonlinear analysis of elastoplastic behavior of functionally graded shells. Eng. Comput. 35, 833–847 (2019).
 - Nabochenko, O., Sysyn, M., Gerber, U. & Krumnow, N. Analysis of track bending stiffness and loading distribution effect in rail support by application of bending reinforcement methods. Urban Rail Transit 9, 73–91 (2023).
 - 19. Fallah Nafari, S., Gül, M., Hendry, M. T., Otter, D. & Roger Cheng, J. Operational vertical bending stresses in rail: Real-life case study. J. Transport. Eng. Part A Syst. 144, 05017012 (2018).
 - Zhang, Y. et al. A novel control strategy for the multi-step straightening process of long/extra-long linear guideways. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part C J. Mech. Eng. Sci. 233, 2959–2975 (2019).
 - Riaz, M. & Farid, H. Enhancing green supply chain efficiency through linear Diophantine fuzzy soft-max aggregation operators. J. Ind. Intell. 1, 8–29 (2023).
 - Khan, A. & Wang, L. Generalized and group-generalized parameter based Fermatean fuzzy aggregation operators with application to decision-making. Int J. Knowl. Innov. Stud. 1, 10–29 (2023).
 - Tešić, D., Božanić, D., Radovanović, M. & Petrovski, A. Optimising assault boat selection for military operations: An application of the DIBR II-BM-CoCoSo MCDM model. J. Intell. Manag. Decis. 2, 160–171 (2023).
 - 24. Yan, S. Research on Pressure Straightening Calculation of Rail End Bending (2008).
 - Liu, Y. et al. Experimental and simulation study on heat transfer characteristics of aluminium alloy piston under transition conditions. Sci. Rep. 12, 9262 (2022).

Author contributions

[Chunjiang Liu] played a leadership and core role in the research, conceived and designed innovative methodologies for the entire research direction. He personally led and executed the finite element simulation work, performed critical analysis and interpretation of the data, and provided solid support for the theoretical basis of the research and the accuracy of the empirical results. At the same time, he played a vital role in the drafting and revision of the manuscript, ensuring the consistency of academic content and logic, and made a significant contribution to the quality of the entire paper. [Zhikui Dong] participated in the design and training of the neural network model. He assisted in the processing and validation of simulation data and made important contributions to the interpretation of results. Actively participated in the drafting and revision of the manuscript and contributed significantly to ensuring the integrity and flow of the paper. [Long Ma] designed the experimental group, was personally responsible for and performed the experimental work. He played a key role in the collection and organization of experimental data, providing valuable insights into the practical application of research results. Also made an active contribution in the preparation of the manuscript. [Xinyu Hou] played a key role in the comprehensive analysis and statistical processing of the results, providing insights into the study conclusions. Participated in the writing and revision of the discussion section of the paper, providing strong support for the scientific interpretation and demonstration of the results. [Nanbing Qiao] provided important guidance and strategic feedback throughout the research process, making a significant contribution to the successful advancement of the project and the achievement of results. It was also indispensable in the writing and revision of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.D.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024